

A free energy bound for the Hopfield model

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L353

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/6/010>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 20:58

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A free energy bound for the Hopfield model

Hans Koch†

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

Received 4 August 1992

Abstract. We give a simple upper and lower bound on the free energy density of the Hopfield model of size N with p stored patterns, in the limit where N and p tend to infinity with $p/N \rightarrow \alpha < 1$. The two bounds coincide for $\alpha = 0$.

The Hamiltonian of the Hopfield model with p stored N -bit patterns is a random function on the set $\mathcal{S} = \{+1, -1\}^N$, with values given by the equation

$$H_{p,N,\xi}(S) = -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^p \xi_i^\mu \xi_j^\mu S_i S_j \quad S \in \mathcal{S} \tag{1}$$

where ξ is a $p \times N$ matrix whose elements ξ_i^μ are independent random variables with values ± 1 and mean zero. The quantity considered here is the expected value of the free energy density

$$f_{p,N,\beta}(\xi) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln \left[\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} e^{-\beta H_{p,N,\xi}(S)} \right] \tag{2}$$

at positive inverse temperatures β . In order to state our result, we define

$$\phi_\delta(\beta, h) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[2 \cosh(\beta h) + \frac{1-\delta}{2} h^2 \right] \tag{3}$$

Theorem. Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\delta < 1$ such that $\delta - 4\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\delta)$ is positive. Then for any $\beta > 0$

$$\min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \phi_\delta(\beta, h) + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \ln[\delta - 4\sqrt{\alpha}(1-\delta)] \leq \lim_{\substack{N,p \rightarrow \infty \\ p/N \rightarrow \alpha}} \mathbb{E} f_{p,N,\beta}(\xi) \leq \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \phi_0(\beta, h) \tag{4}$$

where ‘lim’ stands for either ‘lim sup’ or ‘lim inf’.

Remarks. The upper bound in (4) is well known: it is the free energy density of the Curie-Weiss model, which is obtained by dropping all terms with $\mu > 1$ from the Hamiltonian (1). For $\alpha = 0$ the lower bound in (4) coincides with the upper bound as $\delta \downarrow 0$. In this case we recover a recent result of Shcherbina and Tirozzi [2]. For $\beta < 1$, the lower bound with $\delta = 1 - \beta$ agrees with the correct free energy density up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{3/2})$; see e.g. the references [1] and [3].

† Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-9103590.

Proof. Consider the overlap matrix A ,

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^\mu \xi_i^\nu - \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, p. \quad (5)$$

An estimate in [2] implies that there exists a real number λ and an even positive integer n , both depending on N , such that

$$\lambda^{-n} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A^n \leq o(N^{-1}) \quad \lambda = 4\sqrt{\alpha} + o(1) \quad (6)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. A stronger version of this estimate (inequality (11)), which could be of independent interest, will be proved below.

Assume now that α and δ satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem, and that N is sufficiently large such that $\delta - \lambda(1 - \delta) > 0$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^p , and denote by ξ_i the i th column of the matrix ξ . If ξ is such that the operator norm of A is less than or equal to λ , we get the following upper bound on the partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \exp[-\beta H_{p,N,\xi}(S)] \\ &= \left(\frac{N\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2} \int d^p m \exp[-\frac{1}{2}N\beta \langle m, m \rangle] \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \exp\left[\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \langle m, \xi_i \rangle S_i\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{N\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2} \int d^p m \exp[-\frac{1}{2}N\beta \langle m, m \rangle] \prod_{i=1}^N 2 \cosh(\beta \langle m, \xi_i \rangle) \\ &= \left(\frac{N\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2} \int d^p m \exp[-\frac{1}{2}N\beta \langle m, [\delta + (1 - \delta)A]m \rangle - \beta] \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_\delta(\beta, \langle m, \xi_i \rangle) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{N\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2} \int d^p m \exp[-\frac{1}{2}N\beta \langle m, [\delta - \lambda(1 - \delta)]m \rangle] \max_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \exp[-\beta N \phi_\delta(\beta, h)] \\ &= [\delta - \lambda(1 - \delta)]^{-p/2} \exp\left[-\beta N \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \phi_\delta(\beta, h)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now we take the logarithm and divide by $-\beta N$. The resulting lower bound on the free energy density (2) can be extended to all patterns ξ , by adding to it the trivial bound $-[p/2 + \text{const}]$, multiplied by the positive factor $\lambda^{-n} \operatorname{tr} A^n$ which is larger than 1 whenever $\|A\| > \lambda$:

$$f_{p,N}(\beta, \xi) \geq \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \phi_\delta(\beta, h) + \frac{p}{2\beta N} \ln[\delta - \lambda(1 - \delta)] - [p/2 + \text{const}] \lambda^{-n} \operatorname{tr} A^n. \quad (8)$$

The assertion now follows from (8) and (6).

To complete the proof of our theorem we will now derive a bound that implies (6). Let $n \geq 4$. Then $N^n \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} A^n$ is equal to the number of ordered products

$$\Pi_0 \equiv \xi_{i_1}^{\mu_1} \xi_{i_2}^{\mu_2} \xi_{i_3}^{\mu_3} \xi_{i_4}^{\mu_4} \dots \xi_{i_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} \{ \xi_{i_k}^{\mu_k} \dots \xi_{i_l}^{\mu_l} \} \xi_{i_{l+1}}^{\mu_{l+1}} \dots \xi_{i_n}^{\mu_n} \xi_{i_n}^{\mu_n} \quad (9)$$

(ignoring the braces) with $1 \leq \mu_k \leq p$ and $1 \leq i_k \leq N$ for all k , subject to the constraint that $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \dots \neq \mu_n \neq \mu_1$, and that each random variable ξ_i^μ appears an even number of times. Such products will be referred to as *contributing* products. Note that the constraint implies that the cardinalities $u = \{|\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n|\}$ and $v = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ satisfy $u + v \leq n + 1$ and $2v \leq n$.

A contributing product will be called *simple* if its $2n$ factors can be grouped into n pairs of identical random variables in such a way that if each pair is marked by braces as shown in equation (9) for the pair (ξ_k^μ, ξ_l^μ) , then the 'sets' corresponding to different pairs are either nested or disjoint. Note that such pairings can be specified by giving only the n left braces. Thus, since each pairing determines whether or not any two indices can have different values, the number of simple products can be bounded by

$$c_n(p) \equiv \binom{2n}{n} \max_{\substack{u+v=n+1 \\ v \leq n/2}} p^u N^v. \quad (10)$$

Assume now that Π_0 is a non-simple contributing product. Below we will give a procedure for cutting Π_0 between some top-linked pairs (adjacent factors that have a common upper index, or the first and last factor) and regrouping the pieces into a simple product Π_m that has the following property P : the first factor of Π_m is the same as that of Π_0 ; and if ω is any closed walk on the factors of Π_m such that every odd-numbered (even-numbered) step is between two factors that are top-linked in Π_m (Π_0), then, with one possible exception, all pairs connected by an odd-numbered step of ω are pairs of identical factors. In particular, if we only consider walks whose first step goes to the right, and for which all but the last (or all) odd-numbered steps are between identical pairs, then we can find a set W of walks of this type, such that every factor of Π_m is visited by exactly one walk in W , and only once. Thus, it is possible to encode the original product Π_0 in a modified version Π'_m of Π_m , where, along each walk $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_l = \omega_0) \in W$ of length $l \geq 4$, the upper index in the identical factors ω_{2k-2} and ω_{2k-1} has been replaced by a pointer to the factor ω_{2k} , for $1 \leq k < l/2$. Since the upper indices of Π'_m can take at most $p + 2n$ different 'values', it follows that the number of contributing products is bounded by $c_n(p + 2n)$, and hence

$$\text{E tr } A^n \leq N^{-n} c_n(p + 2n) \leq 4^n (p + 2n) \left(\frac{p + 2n}{N} \right)^{n/2} \quad p + 2n \leq N. \quad (11)$$

We get (6) by taking, e.g. $\lambda = 4(1 + n^{-1/2})((p + 2n/N)^{1/2})$ with $n =$ twice the integer part of \sqrt{N} .

Finally, to construct Π_m from Π_0 we iterate the following step. Assume that Π_{m-1} is non-simple. Then, in this product, it is possible to find an odd number ≥ 3 of consecutive factors $\xi_i^\mu \xi_{i'}^\mu \dots \xi_j^\mu$ such that ξ_j^μ is top-linked to a factor $\xi_{j'}^\mu$ that is identical to ξ_i^μ , with $i \neq i'$ and $j \neq j'$. After choosing such a sub-product, we now reverse the order of the factors $\xi_i^\mu \dots \xi_j^\mu$ in Π_{m-1} and define Π_m to be the result of this operation. Note that this creates a new top-linked pair $(\xi_i^\mu, \xi_{j'}^\mu)$ of identical factors. Thus, the iteration ends (with a simple product) after less than n steps. It is easy to check that each step preserves the abovementioned property P , and that contributing products are mapped to contributing products.

References

- [1] Amit D J, Gutfreund H and Sompolinsky H 1985 Spin-glass models of neural networks *Phys. Rev. A* **32** 1007
- [2] Shcherbina M and Tirozzi B 1991 Exact mean field theory for some Hopfield model *Preprint*
- [3] Scacciatelli X and Tirozzi B 1991 Fluctuation of the free energy in the Hopfield model *Preprint*